Multidisciplinary | Peer-Reviewed
Open Access | Research Journal

Article ID: IRJIST-2026-042
Volume 1 | Issue 2 | 2026

International Research Journal of Innovation in Science and Technology

# Engineering Approaches to Failure and Safety Assessment in Mechanical Systems

**Yash Vikas Mali[1], Mudra Dhanraj Patil[2]**

[1-2]Research Scholar, Vishwakarma Institute of Information Technology, Pune, India
*Correspondence: [1]yashvikasmali45@gmail.com, [2]mudra.22310845@viit.ac.in*

## Abstract

Risk analysis plays an important role in ensuring the safety, reliability, and operational performance of mechanical engineering systems. This paper presents a review of commonly used risk analysis techniques applied in mechanical engineering, including Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA), Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP), Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA), and Risk Priority Number (RPN)-based approaches. Each technique is discussed with respect to its working principle, application areas, advantages, and limitations. Qualitative and quantitative risk assessment methods are also compared, and a general framework for selecting suitable techniques based on system complexity, data availability, and industrial requirements is presented. Illustrative case studies related to pressure vessel systems, rotating machinery, and aerospace structural components are included to explain practical applications of these methods. The review indicates that combined use of qualitative and quantitative approaches can improve risk identification and support better decision-making in complex engineering systems. The paper is intended to provide a useful reference for engineering students, researchers, and professionals working in the fields of mechanical system safety, maintenance, and reliability engineering.

**Keywords**: Risk Analysis; Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA); Fault Tree Analysis (FTA); Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP); Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA); Mechanical Engineering Systems; Safety Engineering; Reliability Analysis.

## 1. Introduction

Mechanical engineering systems are exposed to different types of risks during operation and service conditions. Failures in mechanical components may occur due to material defects, improper maintenance, vibration, corrosion, excessive loading, manufacturing errors, or unfavorable working conditions. Such failures can affect product quality, machine performance, operational safety, and overall system reliability. In severe cases, they may also lead to economic losses, production downtime, and safety hazards [1], [2].

With the increasing complexity of modern industrial systems, risk analysis has become an important part of mechanical engineering applications. Industries such as automotive, aerospace, manufacturing, power generation, and process engineering widely use risk assessment methods to identify possible failures and improve system safety. International standards such as IEC 60812, IEC 61025, and IEC 61882 also recommend structured risk assessment procedures for industrial and safety-critical systems [1]–[3].

Different techniques are used in mechanical engineering for risk identification and failure analysis. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is commonly used to identify possible failure modes in components and manufacturing processes. Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) helps in studying system-level failures and their causes, while Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) is mainly used in process industries for identifying operational hazards. Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) is used for quantitative evaluation of risk in complex engineering systems [4]–[8].

In recent years, industries have also started using advanced approaches such as fuzzy FMEA, predictive monitoring, Industry 4.0 technologies, and data-driven analysis for improving risk evaluation and maintenance planning [9]–[12]. Studies have also shown that combining methods such as FMEA, Statistical Process Control (SPC), Six Sigma, and lean manufacturing can improve reliability and reduce operational risks in manufacturing systems [16]. Although many risk analysis methods are available, selecting the most suitable technique for a particular engineering application is often difficult. Different methods have their own advantages and limitations depending on system complexity, available data, and industrial requirements. Therefore, a proper understanding and comparison of these techniques is necessary.

This paper presents a review of commonly used risk analysis techniques in mechanical engineering systems, including FMEA, FTA, HAZOP, PRA, and modified RPN-based approaches. The paper discusses their working principles, applications, advantages, and limitations along with suitable engineering examples and comparative analysis.

## 2. Fundamental Concepts in Risk Analysis

### 2.1. Definition of Risk

In mechanical engineering, risk is generally defined as the possibility of failure and its associated consequences. It is commonly expressed as the combination of the probability of occurrence of a hazardous event and the severity of its impact. Mathematically, risk can be represented as:

$$R = P \times C$$

where $(R)$ represents risk, $(P)$represents the probability of failure or hazardous occurrence, and $(C)$ represents the consequence or severity associated with the event. The probability of failure is usually estimated using historical failure data, reliability databases, maintenance records, and operational observations. The consequences of failure may include equipment damage, production loss, environmental impact, financial loss, or safety hazards [1], [2]. In industrial systems, risk analysis helps engineers identify critical areas that require preventive actions and safety improvements.

### 2.2. Risk Acceptability

Risk acceptability refers to the level of risk that can be tolerated under specific operating conditions. In engineering applications, the ALARP (As Low As Reasonably Practicable) principle is widely used for risk evaluation. According to this principle, risks should be reduced to the lowest possible level through practical and economically feasible measures.

Generally, risks are classified into three categories:

- Acceptable risk – no immediate action required
- Tolerable risk – risk reduction measures recommended
- Unacceptable risk – immediate corrective action necessary

Different industries use risk matrices, safety criteria, and reliability standards to determine acceptable risk levels for mechanical systems and industrial operations [3], [4].

### 2.3. Uncertainty in Risk Analysis

Uncertainty is an important factor in risk analysis because exact failure conditions are often difficult to predict. In engineering systems, uncertainty mainly arises due to incomplete data, changing operating conditions, human factors, and variations in material or process behavior.

Two common types of uncertainty are:

- Aleatory uncertainty – caused by natural randomness in system behavior.
- Epistemic uncertainty – caused by lack of knowledge or insufficient information

To reduce uncertainty, engineers use methods such as sensitivity analysis, statistical modeling, Monte Carlo simulation, and probabilistic approaches [5], [6]. These techniques help improve the accuracy of risk prediction and support better engineering decision-making.

## 3. Risk Analysis Techniques

### 3.1. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is one of the most commonly used techniques for identifying possible failures in mechanical systems and manufacturing processes. It is a systematic method used to study different failure modes, their causes, and their effects on overall system performance. FMEA is widely used during product design, manufacturing, maintenance, and quality improvement activities [1], [5].

In FMEA, each possible failure mode is evaluated using three important parameters:

- Severity (S) – impact of failure
- Occurrence (O) – probability of failure occurrence
- Detection (D) – ability to detect failure before it occurs

These parameters are used to calculate the Risk Priority Number (RPN), which helps in prioritizing critical risks.

$$RPN = S \times O \times D$$

Higher RPN values indicate higher risk and require immediate corrective actions. FMEA is widely preferred because it is simple to apply and helps in early identification of problems. However, the traditional approach may involve subjective judgment while assigning ratings, and it may not properly handle multiple simultaneous failures [5], [7].

### 3.2. Fault Tree Analysis

Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) is a top-down risk analysis method used to identify the causes of system-level failures. In this method, an undesired event called the "top event" is selected, and all possible causes leading to that event are analyzed using logical relationships such as AND and OR gates [2], [8].

FTA is useful for studying complex engineering systems where multiple component failures can lead to a major accident or system breakdown. It helps engineers identify weak points, common-cause failures, and critical system dependencies. FTA is widely used in aerospace, power plants, automotive systems, and process industries because it provides a clear graphical representation of failure paths. One limitation of FTA is that it mainly considers systems in binary conditions, such as working or failed, and may not represent partial or degraded operating conditions effectively.

### 3.3. Hazard and Operability Study

Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) is a structured technique used for identifying hazards and operational problems in industrial processes. It is commonly used in chemical plants, oil and gas industries, thermal systems, and fluid handling operations [3].

HAZOP works by applying guide words such as "No," "More," "Less," "Reverse," and "Other Than" to process parameters like pressure, temperature, flow rate, and composition. These guide words help in identifying abnormal operating conditions and possible process deviations.

The method is generally carried out by a multidisciplinary team including design engineers, safety experts, and operational staff. HAZOP is highly effective for identifying process-related hazards, but it requires significant time, manpower, and technical expertise for detailed analysis.

### 3.4. Probabilistic Risk Assessment

Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA), also called Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA), is a technique used to estimate the probability and consequences of failure events in complex engineering systems [4], [6]. PRA combines different analytical tools such as fault trees, event trees, reliability analysis, and consequence modeling.

This approach is commonly used in nuclear power plants, aerospace systems, offshore structures, and high-risk industrial applications where accurate risk estimation is important. PRA helps engineers evaluate accident probability, identify critical failure scenarios, and improve system reliability.

The effectiveness of PRA depends heavily on the availability of accurate failure data and proper statistical analysis. For highly complex systems, the method may require advanced computational tools and detailed reliability databases.

### 3.5. Risk Priority Number (RPN) and Advanced Approaches

The Risk Priority Number (RPN) method used in FMEA is widely accepted for risk ranking and prioritization. However, traditional RPN analysis has certain limitations because different combinations of severity, occurrence, and detection values may produce the same RPN value [7].

To overcome these limitations, advanced approaches such as fuzzy FMEA, weighted RPN methods, and multi-criteria decision-making techniques have been developed. Fuzzy logic-based approaches help in handling uncertainty and improving decision-making accuracy during risk evaluation.

Recent developments in Industry 4.0 technologies, sensor-based monitoring systems, artificial intelligence, and predictive analytics have further improved risk assessment methods in mechanical engineering applications [9]–[12]. These advanced approaches support real-time monitoring, early fault detection, and improved maintenance planning in industrial systems.

# 4. Comparative Analysis and Selection Framework

Table 1 presents a comparison of the major risk analysis techniques used in mechanical engineering systems. Each method has different advantages, limitations, resource requirements, and industrial applications. Therefore, selecting an appropriate technique depends on the type of system, complexity of operation, availability of data, and safety requirements.

***Table 1:*** *Comparison of Risk Analysis Techniques*

| Technique | Approach | Main Application | Resource Requirement | Standard / Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FMEA** | Bottom-up | Component and process analysis | Low to Medium | IEC 60812 |
| **FTA** | Top-down | System-level failure analysis | Medium | IEC 61025 |
| **HAZOP** | Inductive | Process industries and fluid systems | High | IEC 61882 |
| **PRA/QRA** | Integrated quantitative approach | Complex and safety-critical systems | Very High | NUREG/CR-2300 |
| **Fuzzy RPN** | Advanced bottom-up approach | Uncertainty-based risk evaluation | Medium | Research-based |

Selection of a suitable risk analysis technique depends on system complexity, availability of data, and industrial requirements. FMEA and HAZOP are generally used during the design and process planning stages, while FTA and PRA are more suitable for complex systems requiring quantitative analysis [2], [4].

FMEA is useful for identifying component-level failures, whereas FTA helps in analyzing system-level failure relationships. HAZOP is mainly applied in process industries for identifying operational hazards, and PRA is widely used in high-risk applications such as aerospace, nuclear systems, and offshore engineering.

In many industrial applications, combined use of multiple techniques provides better risk assessment and reliability improvement. Advanced approaches such as fuzzy FMEA and data-driven risk analysis methods further improve accuracy under uncertain operating conditions [7], [10].

# 5. Case Studies

### 5.1. Pressure Vessel System

A combined HAZOP and FTA approach was applied to a high-pressure heat exchanger system used in an industrial refrigeration application. The analysis identified major risks associated with overpressure, leakage, and tube failure conditions. HAZOP helped in detecting operational deviations related to pressure and flow parameters, while FTA was used to identify root causes of possible system failure. The study showed that installation of automatic shutdown systems and pressure monitoring sensors can significantly reduce operational risk and improve system safety [3], [8].

### 5.2. Rotating Machinery System

FMEA was applied to a centrifugal compressor system used in industrial gas handling applications. Major failure modes identified included bearing wear, shaft misalignment, lubrication failure, and impeller fatigue. Risk Priority Number (RPN) analysis indicated that lubrication-related failures had the highest risk due to their effect on system reliability and maintenance cost. The study highlighted the importance of preventive maintenance, vibration monitoring, and condition-based inspection for improving equipment performance [5], [7].

### 5.3. Aerospace Structural Component

Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) was used for evaluating fatigue-related failure risk in an aircraft structural component. The analysis considered crack propagation, inspection intervals, and probability of failure under varying loading conditions. Monte Carlo simulation techniques were used to estimate failure probability and uncertainty levels. The results indicated that regular non-destructive testing and improved inspection procedures can significantly reduce structural failure risk in aerospace applications [4], [6].

## 6. Future Directions

Risk analysis in mechanical engineering is continuously evolving with the development of advanced digital and industrial technologies. Modern industries are increasingly adopting smart monitoring systems, automation, and data-driven approaches to improve safety, reliability, and operational performance.

One of the major developments is the use of Industry 4.0 technologies such as sensors, IoT-based monitoring, and predictive maintenance systems. These technologies support real-time condition monitoring and early fault detection in industrial equipment [9], [11].

Artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques are also being used to improve risk prediction and maintenance planning. Advanced methods such as fuzzy FMEA, data analytics, and automated fault diagnosis help in reducing uncertainty and improving decision-making accuracy in complex engineering systems [7], [10].

Another important area is the integration of digital twin technology with risk assessment methods. Digital twins allow virtual monitoring and simulation of mechanical systems under different operating conditions, helping engineers evaluate system performance and possible failure conditions before actual breakdown occurs.

In future, industries are expected to increasingly adopt integrated and hybrid risk analysis approaches that combine traditional engineering methods with intelligent monitoring and predictive analytics for improved safety and reliability.

## 7. Conclusion

Risk analysis plays an important role in improving the safety, reliability, and operational efficiency of mechanical engineering systems. This paper reviewed commonly used risk analysis techniques such as FMEA, FTA, HAZOP, PRA, and advanced RPN-based approaches along with their applications, advantages, and limitations.

The study shows that each technique has its own significance depending on system complexity, available data, and industrial requirements. FMEA and HAZOP are effective for identifying process and component-level failures, while FTA and PRA provide better system-level and quantitative risk evaluation. Advanced approaches such as fuzzy FMEA and data-driven analysis further improve risk assessment accuracy under uncertain operating conditions.

The case studies discussed in this paper indicate that combined use of multiple risk assessment methods can improve hazard identification, maintenance planning, and overall system reliability. Integration of Industry 4.0 technologies, predictive monitoring, and intelligent maintenance systems is expected to further enhance future risk management practices in mechanical engineering applications.

Overall, the paper provides a concise overview of important risk analysis methods and their role in improving engineering safety and reliability.

## References


[1] IEC 60812:2018, "Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA and FMECA)," International Electrotechnical Commission, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.

[2] IEC 61025:2006, "Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)," International Electrotechnical Commission, Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.

[3] IEC 61882:2016, "Hazard and Operability Studies (HAZOP Studies) – Application Guide," International Electrotechnical Commission, Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.

[4] NUREG/CR-2300, "PRA Procedures Guide: A Guide to the Performance of Probabilistic Risk Assessments for Nuclear Power Plants," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., 1983.

[5] Liu, H.-C., Liu, L., and Liu, N., "Risk Evaluation Approaches in Failure Mode and Effects Analysis: A Literature Review," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 828–838, 2013.

[6] Stamatelatos, M., Vesely, W., Dugan, J., Fragola, J., Minarick, J., and Railsback, J., Probabilistic Risk Assessment Procedures Guide for NASA Managers and Practitioners, 2nd ed., NASA Office of Safety and Mission Assurance, Washington, D.C., 2002.

[7] Pillay, A., and Wang, J., "Modified Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Using Approximate Reasoning," Reliability Engineering and System Safety, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 69–85, 2003.

[8] Vesely, W., Goldberg, F., Roberts, N., and Haasl, D., Fault Tree Handbook, NUREG-


 

0492, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., 1981.

[9] Lee, J., Bagheri, B., and Kao, H. A., "A Cyber-Physical Systems Architecture for Industry 4.0-Based Manufacturing Systems," Manufacturing Letters, vol. 3, pp. 18–23, 2015.

[10] Bowles, J. B., and Pelaez, C. E., "Fuzzy Logic Prioritization of Failures in a System Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis," Reliability Engineering and System Safety, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 203–213, 1995.

[11] Rao, S. S., Reliability Engineering, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Pearson Education, 2015.

[12] Smith, D. J., Reliability, Maintainability and Risk, 9th ed., Oxford, U.K.: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2017.

[13] Kjellen, O., Prevention of Accidents Through Experience Feedback, London, U.K.: Taylor and Francis, 2000.

[14] Aven, T., Foundations of Risk Analysis, 2nd ed., Chichester, U.K.: Wiley, 2012.

[15] API RP 580, Risk-Based Inspection, 3rd ed., American Petroleum Institute, Washington, D.C., 2016.

[16] Mhatre, S., and Somatkar, A., "Risk Analysis and Process Optimization in Toy Manufacturing Using FMEA, Six Sigma, and Statistical Process Control," International Research Journal of Innovation in Science and Technology (IRJIST), vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 39–46, 2026.

## Publisher's Note & Copyright